UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,002	08/12/2005	Yaacov Belenky	7251-94672	9042
	7590 06/24/200 Ell Sanders, LLP	EXAMINER		
	ll Sanders LLP Welsh	ZIA, SYED		
22ND FLOOR	v		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/541,002	BELENKY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SYED ZIA	2431		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-30 and 37-39 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-36, and 40-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	e withdrawn from consideration. The election requirement. The election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to application filed August 12, 2005, and amendment received on June 9, 2009. Claims 1-41 are pending.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-30, and 37-39, drawn to block or data stream enciphering, classified in class 380, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 31-36 and 40-41, drawn to video electrical signal scrambling, classified in class380, subclass 211.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as time interchanged data segments are processed either in discrete blocks, or a continuous stream, of bits or pulses which serially comprise the message stream" which is not required for Invention II. Invention II has a separate utility such as "a video electric signal is made unintelligible by varying at least one of its parameters, wherein time interchanged data segments are processed either in discrete blocks, or a continuous stream, of bits or pulses which serially comprise the message stream" which is not required for invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2431

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Lenny Friedman on June 9, 2009 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 31-36 and 40-41. Claims 1-30, and 37-39 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 31-36 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Candelore et al. (U. S. Pub. No.: 2003/0021412).

1. Regarding Claim 31, Candelore teach and describe a system for scrambling/descrambling packets, comprising a scrambling/descrambling device to scramble/descramble the packets based on an Initial Value and a Key, each of the packets having a must stay clear (MSC) section which must always stay in the clear, the Initial Value for each of the packets being a function of at least part of the MSC section of an associated one of the packets being processed ([0064-009, 0084-0088, and 0095-00112]).

Application/Control Number: 10/541,002 Page 4

Art Unit: 2431

2. Regarding Claim 34, Candelore teach and describe a method for scrambling/descrambling packets, each of the packets having a must stay clear (MSC) section which must always stay in the clear, the method comprising: determining an Initial Value for each of the packets as a function of at least part of the MSC section of an associated one of the packets being processed; and scrambling/descrambling the packets based on the Initial Value and a Key ([0064-009, 0084-0088, and 0095-00112]).

3. Claims 32-33, 35-36 and 401-41 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 31 and 34. Furthermore, Candelore teach and describe an encryption and decryption of digital content, wherein:

As per Claim 32, the MSC section includes an adaptation field, the Initial Value being a function of at least part of the adaptation field of the one packet being processed ([0095-0112]).

As per Claim 33, the Initial Value is a function of the data content of the adaptation field of the one packet being processed ([0105-0112]).

As per Claim 35, the MSC section includes an adaptation field, the determining including determining the Initial Value as a function of at least part of the adaptation field of the one packet being processed ([0095-0112]).

As per Claim 36, the determining includes determining the Initial Value as a function of the data content of the adaptation field of the one packet being processed ([0105-0112]).

As per Claim 40-41, the value is initialization vector ([0095-0112]).

.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SYED ZIA whose telephone number is (571)272-3798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sz June 16, 2009 /Syed Zia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2131